

ON ALMOST ESSENTIALLY RUSTON ELEMENTS OF A BANACH ALGEBRA

Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović* and Robin E. Harte

Abstract

We introduce the class of “almost essentially Ruston elements” with respect to a homomorphism between two Banach algebras, a class intermediate between Ruston and Fredholm elements.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{C} denote the set of all complex numbers and let A and B denote complex Banach algebras, with identities denoted in both cases with 1 , and invertible groups A^{-1} and B^{-1} , respectively. By $\sigma(x, A)$ we denote the spectrum of an element $x \in A$. The *radical* of A is the set

$$\text{Rad}(A) = \{x \in A : 1 - Ax \in A^{-1}\} = \{x \in A : 1 - xA \in A^{-1}\}.$$

The radical is unchanged if the invertible group A^{-1} is replaced by either the semigroup A_{left}^{-1} of left invertible elements, or the semigroup A_{right}^{-1} of right invertible elements, and can also be realised as the intersection of all maximal proper left ideals, similarly right ideals.

Let S be a subset of A . The *commutant* of S is defined by $\text{comm}(S) = \{x \in A : xs = sx \text{ for all } s \in S\}$. The perturbation class of S , denoted by $P(S)$, is the set

$$P(S) = \{x \in A : x + s \in S \text{ for every } s \in S\}.$$

The *quasinilpotents* of A form the set

$$QN(A) = \{x \in A : \sigma(x, A) = \{0\}\} = \{x \in A : 1 - \mathbb{C}x \in A^{-1}\}. \quad (1)$$

Recall that [6, Theorem 2.11]: if $x, y \in A$, then

$$xy = yx \implies \sigma(xy, A) \subset \sigma(x, A)\sigma(y, A) \quad (2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*. 47A53.

Key words and Phrases. Ruston, Weyl, Fredholm.

Received: March 15, 2010

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

*The author is supported by the Ministry of Science of Serbia, grant no. 144003

and

$$xy = yx \implies \sigma(x + y, A) \subset \sigma(x, A) + \sigma(y, A). \quad (3)$$

From (2) it follows that

$$x \in QN(A) \implies \text{comm}(x)x \subset QN(A), \quad (4)$$

which together with (1) implies

$$QN(A) = \{x \in A : 1 - \text{comm}(x)x \in A^{-1}\}. \quad (5)$$

The radical can be recognised as the perturbation class of the invertible group, and the quasinilpotents as a sort of commutative analogue: there are the equivalencies

$$x \in \text{Rad}(A) \iff (\forall g)(g \in A^{-1} \implies x + g \in A^{-1}) \quad (6)$$

and

$$x \in QN(A) \iff (\forall g)(g \in A^{-1} \cap \text{comm}(x) \implies x + g \in A^{-1}). \quad (7)$$

Observe also that (6) holds separately for the left and right invertible semigroups and that (7) follows from (5) and (1).

Recall that [6, Theorem 1.43]

$$x \in A \text{ is invertible} \iff x + \text{Rad}(A) \text{ is invertible in } A/\text{Rad}(A). \quad (8)$$

Consequently,

$$\sigma(x, A) = \sigma(x + \text{Rad}(A), A/\text{Rad}(A)) \quad (9)$$

and

$$x \text{ is quasinilpotent} \iff x + \text{Rad}(A) \text{ is quasinilpotent.} \quad (10)$$

A map $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a *homomorphism* if T is linear and satisfies $T(xy) = TxTy$, $x, y \in A$, and $T1 = 1$. The homomorphism T has the *Riesz property* if 0 is the only one possible point of accumulation of $\sigma(x, A)$ for every $x \in T^{-1}(0)$, that is, if $Tx = 0$, then $\sigma(x, A)$ is either finite or a sequence converging to 0 [1].

If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism, then $T(A^{-1}) \subset B^{-1}$ and hence

$$A^{-1} \subset A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0) \subset T^{-1}(B^{-1}).$$

Recall the following definitions from [1], [3]:

An element $a \in A$ is *T-Fredholm* if it has an invertible image,

$$a \in T^{-1}(B^{-1}),$$

and *T-Weyl* if it splits into the sum of an invertible and an essentially null element:

$$a \in A^{-1} + T^{-1}(0).$$

Thus, a is *T-Weyl* if

$$a = c + d \text{ with } c \in A^{-1}, Td = 0.$$

If the previous sum is commutative, then $a \in A$ is T -Browder:

$$a \in A^{-1} +_c T^{-1}(0).$$

Corresponding spectra of $a \in A$ are defined as:

$$\sigma_f^T(a) = \sigma(Ta, B)\text{-the Fredholm spectrum,}$$

$$\sigma_w^T(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda \text{ is not } T\text{-Weyl}\}\text{-the Weyl spectrum.}$$

2 Almost essentially Ruston elements

Recall the following definitions from [4]:

An element $a \in A$ is T -Ruston if

$$a = c + d \text{ with } c \in A^{-1}, cd - dc \in \{0\}, Td \in QN(B),$$

an element $a \in A$ is *essentially* T -Ruston if

$$a = c + d \text{ with } c \in A^{-1}, cd - dc \in T^{-1}(0), Td \in QN(B).$$

Let us mention that essentially Ruston elements are called almost Ruston elements in [4]. We introduce the following elements which are intermediate between essentially Ruston and Fredholm elements:

An element $a \in A$ is *almost essentially* T -Ruston if

$$a = c + d \text{ with } c \in A^{-1}, cd - dc \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B)), Td \in QN(B).$$

2.1 Theorem. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. If $a \in A$ is an almost essentially T -Ruston element, that is $a = c + d$ where $c \in A^{-1}$, $Td \in QN(B)$ and $cd - dc \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$, then $\sigma(Ta, B) = \sigma(Tc, B)$ and a is T -Fredholm.

Proof. Let $\pi : B \rightarrow B/\text{Rad}(B)$ denote the quotient map and let $a = c + d$ where $c \in A^{-1}$, $Td \in QN(B)$ and $cd - dc \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$. Hence $\pi(Tc)$ and $\pi(Td)$ commute. By (9) we have $\sigma(Ta, B) = \sigma(\pi(Ta), B/\text{Rad}(B))$, $\sigma(\pi(Td), B/\text{Rad}(B)) = \sigma(Td, B) = \{0\}$ and $\sigma(\pi(Tc), B/\text{Rad}(B)) = \sigma(Tc, B)$. Then, according to (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(Ta, B) &= \sigma(\pi(Ta), B/\text{Rad}(B)) \\ &= \sigma(\pi(Tc) + \pi(Td), B/\text{Rad}(B)) \\ &\subset \sigma(\pi(Tc), B/\text{Rad}(B)) + \sigma(\pi(Td), B/\text{Rad}(B)) \\ &= \sigma(\pi(Tc), B/\text{Rad}(B)) \\ &= \sigma(Tc, B). \end{aligned}$$

As $c = a - d$ and $ad - da \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$, we get $\sigma(Tc, B) \subset \sigma(Ta, B)$. Hence $\sigma(Ta, B) = \sigma(Tc, B)$. Since $c \in A^{-1}$, it follows that $0 \notin \sigma(Tc, B)$, which implies that $0 \notin \sigma(Ta, B)$, i.e. $Ta \in B^{-1}$. Thus a is T -Fredholm. \square

Let us remark that the fact that every almost essentially T -Ruston element is T -Fredholm can be proved also by using (7):

Suppose that $a \in A$ is an almost essentially T -Ruston element, i.e. $a = c + d$ where $c \in A^{-1}$, $Td \in QN(B)$ and $T(cd - dc) \in \text{Rad}(B)$. Then $\pi(Ta) = \pi(Tc) + \pi(Td)$, $\pi(Tc)$ is invertible, $\pi(Td)$ is quasinilpotent and $\pi(Tc)$ and $\pi(Td)$ commute. According to (7) we conclude that $\pi(Ta)$ is invertible which by (8) implies that Ta is invertible. Hence a is T -Fredholm.

Therefore we have:

$$\text{Browder} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} \text{Ruston} \\ \text{Weyl} \end{matrix} \Rightarrow \text{essentially Ruston} \Rightarrow \text{almost essentially Ruston} \Rightarrow \text{Fredholm} \tag{11}$$

The essentially Ruston spectrum of $a \in A$ is defined as:

$$\sigma_{er}^T(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda \text{ is not essentially } T - \text{Ruston}\},$$

and the almost essentially Ruston spectrum of $a \in A$ is defined as:

$$\sigma_{aer}^T(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda \text{ is not almost essentially } T - \text{Ruston}\}.$$

Let us remark that

$$\sigma_{aer}^T(a) = \cap \{\sigma(a - d, A) : Td \in QN(B), ad - da \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))\},$$

and consequently, this spectrum is compact. Clearly,

$$\sigma_f^T(a) \subset \sigma_{aer}^T(a) \subset \sigma_{er}^T(a) \subset \sigma_w^T(a), \tag{12}$$

and we conclude that $\sigma_{aer}^T(a)$ is nonempty.

In [4, Theorem 6.6] it is proved that if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with closed range which satisfies the Riesz property, then Ruston elements are Browder and essentially Ruston elements are Weyl. We can improve the second assertion:

2.2 Theorem. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with closed range which satisfies the Riesz property, then every almost essentially T -Ruston element is T -Weyl.

Proof. Let $a = c + d$, where $c \in A^{-1}$, $d \in T^{-1}(QN(B))$ and $cd - dc \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$. As $TcTd - TdTc \in \text{Rad}(B)$, it follows that $Tc^{-1}Td - TdTc^{-1} \in \text{Rad}(B)$, since $\text{Rad}(B)$ is a two-sided ideal. If $\pi : B \rightarrow B/\text{Rad}(B)$ denotes the quotient map, then $\pi(Tc^{-1})$ and $\pi(Td)$ commute, and by (10), $\pi Td \in QN(B/\text{Rad}(B))$. From

(4) it follows that $\pi(T(c^{-1}d)) \in QN(B/\text{Rad}(B))$, and so $T(c^{-1}d) \in QN(B)$ by (10). Therefore, $c^{-1}a = 1 + c^{-1}d$ is T -Ruston and by [4, Theorem 6.6.1], $c^{-1}a$ is T -Browder. Thus $a = c(c^{-1}a)$ is T -Weyl. \square

2.3 Corollary. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with closed range and satisfies the Riesz property, then the set of T -Weyl elements, the set of essentially T -Ruston elements and the set of almost essentially T -Ruston elements are equal.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.2 and the diagram (11). \square

2.4 Corollary. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with closed range and satisfies the Riesz property, then for $a \in A$

$$\sigma_{aer}^T(a) = \sigma_{er}^T(a) = \sigma_w^T(a).$$

If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact, then ηK denotes the connected hull of K , i.e. ηK is the union of K and the bounded components of the complement of K .

2.5 Corollary. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is an onto homomorphism and $a \in A$, then $\sigma_{aer}^T(a) \subset \eta\sigma_f^T(a)$ and hence $\eta\sigma_{aer}^T(a) = \eta\sigma_f^T(a)$.

Proof. Follows from the first and the second inclusion in (12), and [4, Corollary 7.4]. \square

2.6 Corollary. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism with closed range and $a \in A$, then $\sigma_{aer}^T(a) \subset \eta\sigma_f^T(a)$ and hence $\eta\sigma_{aer}^T(a) = \eta\sigma_f^T(a)$.

Proof. Follows from the first and the second inclusion in (12), and [4, Corollary 7.5]. \square

V. Müller [5, Definition 1] introduced the following concepts:

A subset R of a Banach algebra A is an *upper semiregularity* if

- (i) $a \in R, n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow a^n \in R$,
- (ii) if a, b, c, d are mutually commuting elements of A satisfying $ac + bd = 1$ and $a, b \in R$, then $ab \in R$,
- (iii) R contains a neighbourhood of 1,

and an *lower semiregularity* if

- (i) $a \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}, a^n \in R \Rightarrow a \in R$,
- (ii) if a, b, c, d are mutually commuting elements of A satisfying $ac + bd = 1$ and $ab \in R$, then $a, b \in R$.

2.7 Corollary. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. If either B is commutative or T has closed range and satisfies the Riesz property, then the set of almost essentially T -Ruston elements is an upper semiregularity.

Proof. If B is commutative, then from the definitions it is obvious that the set of almost essentially T -Ruston elements is equal to the set of essentially T -Ruston

elements. If T has closed range and satisfies the Riesz property, then, by Corollary 2.3, these sets are equal again. Now the assertion follows from [4, Corollary 8.4]. \square

2.8 Corollary. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism. If either B is commutative or T has closed range and satisfies the Riesz property, then

$$\sigma_{aer}^T(f(a)) \subset f(\sigma_{aer}^T(a))$$

for all $a \in A$ and all functions f analytic on a neighbourhood of $\sigma(a)$ and non-constant on each component of its domain of definition.

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2.7 and [4, Corollary 8.5]. \square

In [4] it is remarked that from [2, Example 4.4] it follows that the set of T -Weyl elements is not a lower semiregularity even if the homomorphism T has the Riesz property and closed range. From Corollary 2.3 and the same example it follows that, in general, the set of almost essentially Ruston elements is not a lower semiregularity.

In [4] it is proved that if $a \in A$ is a Ruston (essentially Ruston) element, then a^n is a Ruston (essentially Ruston) element for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We prove:

2.9 Theorem. Let $T : A \rightarrow B$ be a homomorphism and $a \in A$. If a is almost essentially T -Ruston, then a^n is almost essentially T -Ruston for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that $a = c + d$, where $c \in A^{-1}$, $Td \in QN(B)$ and $cd - dc \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$. Then $\pi(Tc)$ and $\pi(Td)$ commute, and by (9), $\sigma(\pi(Td), B/\text{Rad}(B)) = \sigma(Td, B) = \{0\}$, where $\pi : B \rightarrow B/\text{Rad}(B)$ denotes the quotient map. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a^n = c_1 + d_1$, with $c_1 = c^n \in A^{-1}$ and $d_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} c^{n-k} d^k$. Hence, according to (2) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\pi(Td_1), B/\text{Rad}(B)) &= \sigma\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\pi(Tc))^{n-k} (\pi(Td))^k, B/\text{Rad}(B)\right) \\ &\subset \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\sigma(\pi(Tc), B/\text{Rad}(B)))^{n-k} (\sigma(\pi(Td), B/\text{Rad}(B)))^k \\ &= \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (9), $\sigma(Td_1, B) = \sigma(\pi(Td_1), B/\text{Rad}(B))$, and so $Td_1 \in QN(B)$. Since $\pi(Tc)$ and $\pi(Td)$ commute, it follows that $\pi(Tc_1)$ and $\pi(Td_1)$ commute, i.e. $c_1 d_1 - d_1 c_1 \in T^{-1}(\text{Rad}(B))$. Therefore, a^n is almost essentially T -Ruston. \square

In [4] it is noticed that product of two Ruston elements does not have to be Ruston. We remark that also product of two essentially Ruston element is not always essentially Ruston:

Suppose that $a = c + d$ where $c \in A^{-1}$, $Td \in QN(B)$ and $TcTd - TdTc \in \text{Rad}(B) \setminus \{0\}$, in other words a is almost essentially T -Ruston but not essentially

T -Ruston. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we conclude that $T(c^{-1}d) \in QN(B)$. Thus $1 + c^{-1}d$ is T -Ruston and so it is an essentially T -Ruston element. Since $a = c(1 + c^{-1}d)$, it follows that a is a product of two essentially T -Ruston elements which is not essentially T -Ruston.

Moreover, we see that every almost essentially T -Ruston element is the product of two T -Ruston elements.

References

- [1] R. Harte, *Fredholm theory relative to Banach algebra homomorphism*, Math. Z. 179 (1982), 431-436.
- [2] R. Harte, *Fredholm, Weyl and Browder theory*, Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 85A(2) (1985), 151-176.
- [3] R. Harte, *Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [4] H. du T. Mouton, S. Mouton and H. Raubenheimer, *Fredholm theory relative to unbounded homomorphisms*, preprint.
- [5] V. Müller, *Axiomatic theory of spectrum III: semiregularities*, Studia Math. 142(2) (2000), 159-169.
- [6] V. Müller, *Spectral theory of linear operators and spectral systems in Banach algebras*, (2nd edition) Birkhäuser Verlag, 2007.

Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović
Department of Mathematics, University of Niš
E-mail: mladvlad@ptt.rs

Robin E. Harte
School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin
E-mail: rharte@maths.tcd.ie